

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Structure and magnetic properties of  $RE_4CoCd$  and  $RE_4RhCd$  (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho)

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2007 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 076213 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/19/7/076213)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 28/05/2010 at 16:07

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 (2007) 076213 (13pp)

# Structure and magnetic properties of RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd and RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho)

## Ahmet Doğan, Sudhindra Rayaprol and Rainer Pöttgen

Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Corrensstraße 30, 48149 Münster, Germany

E-mail: pottgen@uni-muenster.de

Received 17 October 2006, in final form 29 December 2006 Published 2 February 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/19/076213

## Abstract

New rare earth metal rich cadmium compounds RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd and RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho) were prepared by high-frequency melting of the elements in sealed tantalum tubes. The samples were studied by x-ray powder and single-crystal diffraction. All the compounds crystallize with Gd<sub>4</sub>RhIn-type structure, with space group  $F\bar{4}3m$ . The structures are built up from rigid three-dimensional networks of condensed, cobalt (rhodium) centred trigonal RE<sub>6</sub> prisms. The voids left by these networks are filled by Cd<sub>4</sub> cluster units and the coordination number 14 polyhedra of the RE1 atoms. The terbium and dysprosium compounds in both series undergo antiferromagnetic ordering, whereas the holmium compounds is characterized by broad peaks around the transition temperatures. The results of detailed crystallographic investigations and preliminary magnetic and specific heat studies are presented and discussed in this work.

# 1. Introduction

The ternary RE–T–Cd systems (RE = rare earth metal, T = transition metal) have so far only scarcely been investigated. Complete phase analytical data have only been reported for the Ce–Cu–Cd system [1], revealing the ternary compounds  $CeCu_{11-x}Cd_x$ ,  $CeCu_{6-x}Cd_x$ ,  $CeCu_{5-x}Cd_x$ ,  $Ce_2Cu_5Cd_2$ ,  $CeCuCd_2$ , and CeCuCd. Further phase analytical investigations led to the intermetallic compounds  $RECu_{5-x}Cd_x$  (RE = Ce, Gd, Tb, Yb) [2],  $ErCuCd_2$  [3], the ordered Laves phases  $CeNi_4Cd$  and  $RECu_4Cd$  (RE = Ho, Er, Tm, Yb) [4], several equiatomic compounds RETCd (T = Pd, Ag, Au) (see [5–8], and references therein), LaNiCd<sub>2</sub> [9], PrNiCd<sub>2</sub>, LaPdCd<sub>2</sub> [10], and a larger family of RE<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub>Cd intermetallics (see [11–15], and references therein).

These cadmium intermetallics are highly interesting with respect to their magnetic and electrical properties. At least the RETCd and  $RE_2T_2Cd$  compounds crystallize with structures

that are typically observed for indides and stannides. Through substitution of indium or tin by cadmium within a given structure type, one can drastically change the valence electron concentration and thus influence the magnetic behaviour of the rare earth atoms. To give an example, GdAuCd [8] orders antiferromagnetically at  $T_N = 66.5$  K, while GdAuIn [16] has the much lower ordering temperature of 12.5 K. Also Nd<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>2</sub>Cd ( $T_C = 23.7$  K) [15] has a significantly higher ordering temperature than Nd<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>2</sub>In ( $T_N = 7.5$  K) [17].

In view of these promising structure–property relationships, we have started a systematic study of the crystal chemistry and physical properties of  $RE_xT_yCd_z$  intermetallics. Our recent phase analytical investigations revealed a series of new Gd<sub>4</sub>RhIn-type compounds [18, 19]. The structure and magnetic properties of RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd and RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho) are reported herein.

#### 2. Experimental details

#### 2.1. Synthesis

The starting materials for the preparation of the RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd and RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho) samples were ingots of the rare earth elements (Johnson-Matthey or Kelpin), cobalt powder (Sigma-Aldrich, 100 mesh, >99.9%), rhodium powder (Degussa-Hüls, about 200 mesh), and a cadmium rod (Johnson-Matthey), all with a stated purity better than 99.9%. Pieces of the rare earth metals were first arc-melted into small buttons under purified argon. The elements were then weighed in 4:1:1 atomic ratio and sealed in small tantalum tubes under purified argon [20]. The tantalum ampoules were then placed in a water-cooled quartz sample chamber of a high-frequency furnace (Hüttinger Elektronik, Freiburg type TIG 1.5/300) under flowing argon [21] and first heated at 1670 K for about 1 min and then annealed at around 870 K for 2–4 h. The temperature was controlled through a Sensor Therm Methis MS09 pyrometer with an accuracy of  $\pm 30$  K. The samples could easily be separated from the crucible material. No reaction with the containers was evident.

The bulk samples were investigated by energy-dispersive x-ray analysis (EDX) in a Leica 420 I scanning electron microscope using the rare earth trifluorides, cobalt, rhodium, and cadmium as standards. No impurity elements heavier than sodium (detection limit of the machine) could be detected. The analyses were in excellent agreement with the ideal 4:1:1 composition.

#### 2.2. X-ray imaging plate data and structure refinements

The RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd and RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd samples were characterized by x-ray powder diffraction (Guinier technique) using Cu K $\alpha_1$  radiation and  $\alpha$ -quartz (a = 491.30, c = 540.46 pm) as an internal standard. The cubic lattice parameters (tables 1 and 2) were obtained by least-squares refinements of the Guinier data. Proper indexing was ensured through comparison of the experimental patterns with calculated ones [22] taking the atomic positions of the structure refinements. The powder and single-crystal lattice parameters agreed well.

Small single crystals were isolated from the annealed samples by mechanical fragmentation. They were examined by Laue photographs on a Buerger camera (equipped with an imaging plate system Fujifilm BAS-1800) in order to check their suitability for intensity data collection. Intensity data of the Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd and Ho<sub>4</sub>RhCd crystals were collected at room temperature on a four-circle diffractometer (CAD4) with graphite-monochromatized Mo K $\alpha$  radiation (71.073 pm) and a scintillation counter with pulse height discrimination. The scans were performed in the  $\omega/2\theta$  mode. Empirical absorption corrections were applied on the basis

space group $F\bar{4}3m$ , Pearson symbol cF96, $Z = 16$ .						
Empirical formula	Tb <sub>4</sub> CoCd	Dy <sub>4</sub> CoCd	Ho <sub>4</sub> CoCd			
Molar mass (g mol <sup>-1</sup> )	807.01	821.33	831.05			
Unit cell dimension, a (pm)	1346.5(2)	1341.0(3)	1334.9(2)			
(Guinier data), $V(nm^3)$	2.4413	2.4115	2.3787			
Calculated density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	8.78	9.05	9.28			
Crystal size $(\mu m^3)$	$10 \times 30 \times 40$	$10\times10\times100$	$20 \times 20 \times 50$			
Detector distance (mm)	60	60	_			
Exposure time (min)	5	5	_			
$\omega$ range, increment (deg)	0–180, 1.0	0–180, 1.0	_			
Integr. Param. A, B, EMS	13.5, 3.5, 0.010	13.0, 3.0, 0.012	_			
Transm. ratio (max/min)	1.88	1.46	5.03			
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	51.6	54.9	58.6			
F(000)	5360	5424	5488			
$\theta$ range (deg)	2–30	3–30	2–33			
Range in hkl	$\pm18,\pm18,\pm18$	$\pm18,\pm18,\pm18$	$\pm 20, \pm 20, \pm 20$			
Total no. reflections	6439	5611	8614			
Independent reflections	$405 (R_{\rm int} = 0.0944)$	$396 (R_{\rm int} = 0.1690)$	$481 \ (R_{\rm int} = 0.1518)$			
Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	$326 (R_{sigma} = 0.0621)$	$265 (R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.1138)$	$421 (R_{sigma} = 0.0380)$			
Data/parameters	405/20	396/19	481/20			
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	0.798	0.737	1.070			
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0278 wR2 = 0.0449	R1 = 0.0333 wR2 = 0.0502	R1 = 0.0231 wR2 = 0.0348			
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0389 wR2 = 0.0462	R1 = 0.0609 wR2 = 0.0542	R1 = 0.0319 wR2 = 0.0364			
Flack parameter	_	-0.08(11)	_			
BASF	0.23(7)	—	0.38(3)			
Extinction coefficient	0.000 106(7)	0.000078(6)	0.000 031(3)			
Largest diff. peak and hole $(e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	2.68 and -2.05	2.53 and -2.96	1.49  and  -1.83			

**Table 1.** Crystal data and structure refinements for RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho), Gd<sub>4</sub>RhIn type, space group  $F\bar{4}3m$ , Pearson symbol cF96, Z = 16.

of  $\Psi$ -scan data followed by spherical absorption corrections. Intensity data of Tb<sub>4</sub>CoCd, Dy<sub>4</sub>CoCd, Tb<sub>4</sub>RhCd, and Dy<sub>4</sub>RhCd were collected in oscillation mode on a Stoe IPDS-II image plate diffractometer using monochromatized Mo K $\alpha$  radiation (71.073 pm). Numerical absorption corrections were applied to these data sets. All relevant crystallographic details are listed in tables 1 and 2.

The powder patterns already revealed isotypy of the cadmium compounds with the series of RE<sub>4</sub>CoMg intermetallics [23]. All data sets were compatible with space group  $F\bar{4}3m$ . The atomic parameters of La<sub>4</sub>CoMg [23] were taken as starting values and the structures were refined using SHELXL-97 (full-matrix least-squares on  $F_o^2$ ) [24] with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters for all sites. As a check for the correct compositions, the occupancy parameters were refined in separate series of least-squares cycles. All sites were fully occupied within two standard uncertainties, and in the final cycles the ideal occupancies were assumed again. Refinement of the correct absolute structures was ensured through refinement of the Flack parameter [25, 26]. Most crystals were twinned by inversion. Final difference Fourier

space group $F\bar{4}3m$ , Pearson symbol cF96, $Z = 16$ .					
Empirical formula	Tb <sub>4</sub> RhCd	Dy <sub>4</sub> RhCd	Ho <sub>4</sub> RhCd		
Molar mass (g mol <sup>-1</sup> )	850.99	865.31	875.03		
Unit cell dimensions, a (pm)	1357.3(1)	1352.9(1)	1348.3(1)		
(Guinier data), V (nm <sup>3</sup> )	2.5005	2.4763	2.4511		
Calculated density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	9.04	9.28	9.49		
Crystal size ( $\mu$ m <sup>3</sup> )	$10 \times 40 \times 100$	$10 \times 40 \times 60$	$10 \times 10 \times 40$		
Detector distance (mm)	60	60	_		
Exposure time (min)	5	5	_		
$\omega$ range; increment (deg)	0–180, 1.0	0–180, 1.0	_		
Integr. Param. A, B, EMS	13.5, 3.5, 0.012	13.5, 3.5, 0.012	_		
Transm. ratio (max/min)	3.67	1.79	2.30		
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	50.4	53.5	56.9		
<i>F</i> (000)	5648	5712	5776		
$\theta$ range (deg)	3–30	2–35	2-30		
Range in hkl	$\pm 19, \pm 19, \pm 19$	$\pm 21, \pm 21, \pm 21$	$\pm 18, \pm 18, \pm 18$		
Total no. reflections	5108	9460	7093		
Independent reflections	$412 (R_{\rm int} = 0.0831)$	593 ( $R_{\rm int} = 0.0620$ )	$405 (R_{\rm int} = 0.1196)$		
Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	$369 (R_{sigma} = 0.0460)$	$519 (R_{sigma} = 0.0352)$	$370 (R_{sigma} = 0.0329)$		
Data/parameters	412/20	593/20	405/20		
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.088	0.991	1.150		
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0350 wR2 = 0.0702	R1 = 0.0286 wR2 = 0.0570	R1 = 0.0203 wR2 = 0.0380		
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0409 wR2 = 0.0715	R1 = 0.0349 wR2 = 0.0582	R1 = 0.0273 wR2 = 0.0404		
Flack parameter	_	_	_		
BASF	0.40(5)	0.42(3)	0.13(3)		
Extinction coefficient	0.000 09(1)	0.000109(8)	0.000110(7)		
Largest diff. peak and hole ( $e \text{ Å}^{-3}$ )	3.83 and -2.85	2.67 and -3.16	1.81 and -1.47		

**Table 2.** Crystal data and structure refinements for  $RE_4RhCd$  (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho),  $Gd_4RhIn$  type.

syntheses revealed no significant residual peaks (tables 1 and 2). The refined positional parameters and interatomic distances (as an example for both holmium compounds) are listed in tables 3 and 4. Further details on the structure refinements are available<sup>1</sup>.

# 2.3. Magnetic and specific heat measurements

Magnetism and specific heat measurements for the compounds of the series  $RE_4TCd$  (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho; T = Co, Rh) were carried out on a Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System (QD-PPMS, USA) using VSM and HC options respectively.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Details may be obtained from Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen (Germany), by quoting the Registry Nos CSD-417043 (Tb<sub>4</sub>CoCd), CSD-417044 (Dy<sub>4</sub>CoCd), CSD-417045 (Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd), CSD-417046 (Tb<sub>4</sub>RhCd), CSD-417047 (Dy<sub>4</sub>RhCd), and CSD-417048 (Ho<sub>4</sub>RhCd).

Table 3. Atomic coordinates and anisotropic displacement parameters (pm <sup>2</sup> ) for RE <sub>4</sub> CoCd and
RE4RhCd (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho). The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form
$-2\pi^2[(ha^*)^2U_{11} + \cdots + 2hka^*b^*U_{12}]$ . $U_{eq}$ is defined as a third of the trace of the orthogonalized
$U_{ij}$ tensor.

Atom	Wyck. pos.	x	у	z	$U_{11}$	$U_{22} = U_{33}$	$U_{12} = U_{13}$	<i>U</i> <sub>23</sub>	Ueq
Tb <sub>4</sub> CoCd									
Tb1	24g	0.561 84(10)	1/4	1/4	72(7)	57(4)	0	-6(6)	62(3)
Tb2	24f	0.189 52(10)	0 <sup>′</sup>	0 <sup>´</sup>	53(6)	47(4)	0	-19(5)	49(3)
Tb3	16e	0.347 95(8)	x	x	57(3)	U <sub>11</sub>	-6(4)	U <sub>12</sub>	57(3)
Co	16e	0.1412(2)	х	х	75(9)	U <sub>11</sub>	-8(9)	U12	75(9)
Cd	16e	0.57977(10)	х	х	46(4)	U <sub>11</sub>	-17(5)	U <sub>12</sub>	46(4)
Dy <sub>4</sub> CoCd									
Dy1	24g	0.56209(17)	1/4	1/4	60(11)	58(6)	0	-20(10)	59(5)
Dy2	24f	0.18926(18)	0	0	37(11)	49(6)	0	-1(9)	45(5)
Dy3	16e	0.34795(13)	х	x	56(5)	U <sub>11</sub>	-18(6)	U12	56(5)
Co	16e	0.1412(3)	х	x	61(14)	U <sub>11</sub>	-16(15)	U12	61(14)
Cd	16e	0.57978(18)	х	x	47(7)	U11	-27(8)	U12	47(7)
Ho <sub>4</sub> CoCd									
Ho1	24g	0.43831(6)	3/4	3/4	109(4)	86(2)	0	-10(3)	94(2)
Ho2	24f	0.81069(6)	0	0	67(3)	77(2)	0	-11(3)	74(2)
Ho3	16e	0.65208(4)	х	x	84(2)	U11	3(2)	U12	84(2)
Co	16e	0.858 89(13)	х	x	120(5)	U11	-27(6)	U12	120(5)
Cd	16e	0.42037(6)	х	х	82(3)	U11	-11(3)	U12	82(3)
Tb <sub>4</sub> RhCd									
Tb1	24g	0.564 14(9)	1/4	1/4	72(6)	49(4)	0	-3(6)	56(3)
Tb2	24f	0.190 08(10)	0	0	51(6)	46(4)	0	-28(5)	48(3)
Tb3	16e	0.349 57(7)	x	x	49(4)	U <sub>11</sub>	-1(3)	U12	49(4)
Rh	16e	0.14244(13)	x	x	63(5)	U11	-12(6)	U12	63(5)
Cd	16e	0.58021(11)	x	x	56(4)	U11	-7(5)	U12	56(4)
Dy <sub>4</sub> RhCd									
Dy1	24g	0.563 60(6)	1/4	1/4	76(3)	74(2)	0	-1(4)	75(2)
Dy2	24f	0.18974(6)	0	0	68(3)	60(2)	0	-13(3)	62(2)
Dy3	16e	0.34972(5)	х	х	65(2)	U11	7(2)	U12	65(2)
Rh	16e	0.14248(8)	х	х	78(3)	U11	-17(3)	U12	78(3)
Cd	16e	0.58014(7)	х	х	76(3)	U <sub>11</sub>	-13(3)	U12	76(3)
Ho <sub>4</sub> RhCd									
Ho1	24g	0.43642(5)	3/4	3/4	109(4)	108(2)	0	-4(4)	108(2)
Ho2	24f	0.81045(6)	0	0	100(3)	93(2)	0	-10(3)	95(2)
Ho3	16e	0.65009(4)	х	х	102(2)	U11	13(2)	U12	102(2)
Rh	16e	0.85744(8)	х	x	113(3)	U11	-13(4)	U12	113(3)
Cd	16e	0.41973(7)	x	x	117(3)	U11	-7(3)	U <sub>12</sub>	117(3)

## 3. Results and discussions

# 3.1. Crystal chemistry

Rare earth compounds RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd and RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho) crystallize with the cubic Gd<sub>4</sub>RhIn-type structure [18, 19]. This peculiar structure type has also been observed for the series of RE<sub>4</sub>CoMg (RE = Y, La, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd–Tm) compounds [23]. As an example, a view of the Ho<sub>4</sub>RhCd structure is presented in figure 1. The structure contains two striking structural motifs. The rhodium atoms are coordinated in the form of slightly distorted trigonal prisms by the Ho2 and Ho3 atoms and these trigonal prisms are condensed via common corners to a three-dimensional network. The short Rh–Ho distances (279 and 280 pm) are indicative



Figure 1. The crystal structure of  $Ho_4RhCd$ . Holmium, rhodium, and cadmium atoms are drawn as medium grey, filled (hidden in the trigonal prisms), and open circles, respectively. The three-dimensional network of corner-sharing  $RhHo_6$  trigonal prisms and the  $Cd_4$  tetrahedra is emphasized.

Table 4. Interatomic distances (pm), calculated with the powder lattice parameters of  $Ho_4CoCd$  and  $Ho_4RhCd$ . Standard deviations are given in parentheses. All distances within the first coordination spheres are listed.

Ho <sub>4</sub> CoCd				Ho <sub>4</sub> RhCd			
Ho1:	2	Cd	322.5(1)	Ho1:	2	Cd	324.2(1)
	2	Co	339.9(1)		2	Rh	345.3(1)
	2	Ho3	340.0(1)		2	Ho3	345.4(1)
	4	Ho2	353.2(1)		4	Ho2	355.5(1)
	4	Ho1	355.5(1)		4	Ho1	357.2(2)
Ho2:	2	Co	274.1(2)	Ho2:	2	Rh	279.1(1)
	2	Cd	343.1(1)		2	Cd	346.0(1)
	4	Ho1	353.2(1)		4	Ho1	357.2(1)
	2	Ho3	356.7(1)		2	Ho3	358.7(1)
	4	Ho2	357.4(1)		4	Ho2	361.4(1)
Ho3:	3	Co	276.9(2)	Ho3:	3	Rh	279.9(1)
	3	Cd	338.2(1)		3	Cd	337.9(1)
	3	Ho1	340.0(1)		3	Ho1	345.4(1)
	3	Ho2	356.7(1)		3	Ho2	358.7(1)
	3	Ho3	369.7(2)		3	Ho3	381.0(2)
Co:	3	Ho2	274.1(2)	Rh:	3	Ho2	279.1(1)
	3	Ho3	276.8(2)		3	Ho3	279.9(1)
	3	Ho1	339.9(1)		3	Ho1	345.3(1)
Cd:	3	Cd	300.7(2)	Cd:	3	Cd	306.1(3)
	3	Ho1	322.5(1)		3	Ho1	324.4(1)
	3	Ho3	338.2(1)		3	Ho3	337.9(1)
	3	Ho2	343.1(1)		3	Ho2	346.0(1)

for strong covalent bonding within these units, as was also evident from electronic structure calculations for isotypic  $La_4CoMg$  [23].

The voids left by the network of trigonal prisms are filled by cadmium tetrahedra that also show an fcc packing. The Cd–Cd distance of 306 pm is similar to the Cd–Cd distances in



Figure 2. The dc susceptibility ( $\chi = M/H$ ) measured for the RE<sub>4</sub>TCd (RE = Tb, Dy and Ho; T = Co, Rh) series in H = 10 kOe field, plotted as  $\chi$  and  $\chi^{-1}$  versus T. The inset shows the low-field (H = 100 Oe) susceptibility measurement.

*hcp* cadmium ( $6 \times 298$  and  $6 \times 329$  pm) [27], and we can assume a significant degree of Cd–Cd bonding within the tetrahedra. This is a very rare structural motif in solid-state materials. Further, voids are filled by the coordination number 14 polyhedra of the Ho1 atoms that are not part of the trigonal prismatic network. For further details on the crystal chemistry of these materials we refer to our recent work on the isotypic series of magnesium compounds [23].

Finally we need to return to the site occupancy parameters. There is a severe difference between the  $RE_4CoMg$  [23] and the  $RE_4CoCd/RE_4RhCd$  series. The RE1 positions that do not contribute to the trigonal prismatic network show significant RE1/Mg mixing in the RE4CoMg series, while all sites are fully occupied within two standard uncertainties in the RE4CoCd and RE4RhCd series. This is an important point with respect to the magnetic properties, since the RE1/Mg mixing has a pronounced influence on the electron count, and thus the magnetic coupling between the three crystallographically independent RE sites. Detailed structural and

**Table 5.** Values of magnetic ordering temperatures (determined from  $d\chi/dT$ ), effective Bohr magneton and paramagnetic Curie temperatures for RE<sub>4</sub>TCd compounds determined from susceptibility measurements.

Compound	Ordering temperature (K)	$\mu_{\rm eff}$ ( $\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm RE}$ atom)	$\theta_{\rm p}  ({\rm K})$
Tb <sub>4</sub> CoCd	54(1)	9.74(2)	52.5(5)
Dy <sub>4</sub> CoCd	34(1)	10.87(2)	33.5(5)
Ho <sub>4</sub> CoCd	24(1)	10.79(2)	24.1(5)
Tb <sub>4</sub> RhCd	22(1)	10.03(2)	19.7(5)
Dy <sub>4</sub> RhCd	21(1)	10.69(2)	24.9(5)
Ho <sub>4</sub> RhCd	22(1)	10.75(2)	18.8(5)

magnetic investigations of representative solid solutions  $RE_{4-x}CoMg_{1+x}$  and  $RE_{4-x}RhMg_{1+x}$  are currently in progress.

# *3.2. The dc susceptibility* ( $\chi = M/H$ )

In figure 2, we show the magnetic susceptibility,  $\chi (=M/H)$  measured in a field of 10 kOe for RE<sub>4</sub>TCd (RE = Tb, Dy and Ho; T = Co, Rh). The inverse susceptibility is also plotted in the same figure to highlight the Curie–Weiss behaviour at high temperatures (in 100–300 K range). The  $\chi(T)$  plots clearly show the antiferromagnetic ordering,  $T_N$  at 54(1) and 34(1) K for Tb<sub>4</sub>CoCd and Dy<sub>4</sub>CoCd respectively. Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd undergoes ferromagnetic ordering,  $T_C$  at 24(1) K ( $T_C$  determined from  $d\chi/dT$ ). From the plot of  $\chi^{-1}$  versus T, the values of the paramagnetic Curie temperature ( $\theta_p$ ) and the Bohr magneton number ( $\mu_{eff}$ ) were calculated, and they are shown in table 5. For all three compounds of the RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd series, the values of  $\mu_{eff}$  are close to the expected free ion values.

A close look at the  $\chi(T)$  behaviour below 100 K shows that for the Tb and the Dy compound there is a possibility of another magnetic transition around 5 K, also seen in low-field measurements (inset of figure 2).  $\chi$  for the Ho compound appears to be saturating below 5 K. The antiferromagnetic ordering in the Tb and the Dy compounds is clearly seen as a peak around their respective transition temperatures; however, in the case of Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd,  $T_C$  is not clearly evident from  $\chi(T)$  alone as the onset takes place at a temperature as high as 60 K. It should also be noted here that  $\chi^{-1}$  flattens below 25 K for Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd.

Now we focus on the physical properties of the RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd series. The crystallographic studies clearly show that, on moving from Co to Rh, there is definitive change in the volume (owing to the larger ionic radii of Rh compared to Co), also affecting the magnetism of these compounds. In figure 2 we also show the susceptibility behaviour for the compounds of the RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd series (RE = Tb, Dy and Ho) measured in H = 10 kOe. The differences in the magnetism in the RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd and RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd series is clearly evident from their  $\chi(T)$  behaviour. The peaks around the ordering temperatures in the RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd series (for RE = Tb and Dy) are broader as compared to the compounds of the Co series (figure 2). In the RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd series also, the Tb and Dy compounds undergo antiferromagnetic ordering at 22(1) and 21(1) K respectively, and Ho<sub>4</sub>RhCd orders ferromagnetically at 22(1) K. The values of  $\theta_p$  and  $\mu_{eff}$ , obtained from the linear region (100–300 K) in the  $\chi^{-1}$  versus T plots, are listed in table 5. The values of  $\mu_{eff}$  for the RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd compounds are also close to the expected values for the free RE<sup>3+</sup> ions.

## 3.3. Magnetization (M versus H)

We have also studied the magnetization behaviour of these interesting compounds up to fields of 80 kOe at 5 K, i.e., well below their respective magnetic ordering temperatures. The



**Figure 3.** Magnetization as a function of field (*M* versus *H*) measured at T = 5 and 100 K for compounds of the RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd and RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd series. The arrows indicate the direction of field sweeping.

magnetization measurements, up to 80 kOe, as well as low-field hysteresis loops measured in fields of  $\pm 10$  kOe, are also shown in figures 3 and 4 respectively. In figure 3 we show the magnetization (*M*-*H*) behaviour for RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd at 5 and 100 K. *M*(*H*) for Tb<sub>4</sub>CoCd increases linearly with *H* for initial application of field (for *H* < 20 kOe), increases dramatically as *H* approaches 20 kOe, exhibiting a metamagnetic transition as *H* crosses this critical field of about 20 kOe, and increases with further increase in *H*. The compound exhibits large hysteresis, when the field is ramped down from 80 to 0 kOe. This feature can also be clearly seen in the hysteresis loop measured at 5 K in fields between  $\pm 10$  kOe (figure 4).

For Dy<sub>4</sub>CoCd, a field-induced transition takes place even with a small field, as can be clearly seen from the M(H) at 5 K (figure 3). The continuous change in M with H continues up to 25 kOe, and then M varies linearly with increasing H. M(H) of Dy<sub>4</sub>CoCd at 5 K also



Figure 4. Hysteresis loops measured at 5 K for compounds of the RE4CoCd and RE4RhCd series.

exhibits hysteresis as the field is reversed to 0 from 80 kOe. Also, the low-field hysteresis loop (figure 4) clearly shows such a behaviour for  $Dy_4CoCd$ .

M(H) for Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd at 5 K increases sharply with a small change in H, confirming the spontaneous moment in this system, consistent with the observation of ferromagnetic ordering. However, above 20 kOe, M varies rather sluggishly with increasing H. The hysteresis loop measured at 5 K for Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd exhibits a metamagnetic transition between 0 and 5 kOe in both directions of the field sweeping. The hysteresis loop also exhibits broadening of the loop between 0 and 5 kOe. From its magnetization behaviour, Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd can be classified as a soft ferromagnet (coercive field,  $H_C = 186$  Oe). M(H) for Tb<sub>4</sub>RhCd is different from that of the isostructural compound Tb<sub>4</sub>CoCd at 5 K. In Tb<sub>4</sub>RhCd, also, M experiences a metamagnetic transition at smaller fields. M varies sluggishly with H up to 60 kOe. M deviates from this behaviour between 60 and 80 kOe and appears to be curved. Here also large hysteresis is observed when the field is ramped down from 80 to 0 kOe, which is clearly seen in the hysteresis loop (figure 4) measured at the same temperature.



Figure 5. Specific heat data plotted in different forms for the  $RE_4TCd$  (RE = Tb, Dy and Ho; T = Co, Rh) series.

The behaviour of M(H) at 5 K for Dy<sub>4</sub>RhCd is also slightly different from that of its counterpart in the Co series, Dy<sub>4</sub>CoCd. M increases almost linearly with H up to 40 kOe, and deviates as H approaches 80 kOe. The field-induced transition seen in Dy<sub>4</sub>CoCd is absent here; however, like the former compound, Dy<sub>4</sub>RhCd also exhibits large hysteresis effects (also seen in figure 4).

The M(H) for Ho<sub>4</sub>RhCd resembles, for the most part, that of Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd, except for the features between 5 and 15 kOe. A small change in *H* results in a sharp rise in *M*, presumably due to a spontaneous magnetization in this ferromagnetically ordered compound. Above 10 kOe, *M* increases linearly with increasing *H* with a tendency to saturate at higher fields. The hysteresis loop measured at the same temperature clearly shows that Ho<sub>4</sub>RhCd also undergoes a field-induced transition at low fields (between 0 and 5 kOe). Owing to these characteristics of the magnetization curve, Ho<sub>4</sub>RhCd can also be classified as a soft ferromagnet ( $H_C = 160$  Oe).

The saturation magnetization for the Tb compounds at 5 K in both series is about 55% of the expected value (given by  $g \times J = 9.0 \ \mu_{\rm B} \ {\rm mol}^{-1}$  for Tb, J = 6) at 80 kOe. Similarly, for the Dy and Ho compounds (in both series) *M* reaches about 60 and 70% of the expected

saturation magnetization value at 80 kOe at 5 K. The discrepancy in the observed and expected values can be attributed to the crystal field effects and/or the polycrystalline character of the samples. Also it is possible that a field strength of 80 kOe is insufficient for the saturation of the moments.

At 100 K for all compounds of the RE<sub>4</sub>TCd (T = Co, Rh) series, M varies linearly with H, indicating the paramagnetic state of the samples, confirming the observations made in the susceptibility measurements.

## 3.4. Specific heat studies

In order to get a precise idea about the magnetic ordering temperatures, we have performed specific heat measurements in the 3–100 K range. The plots of *C* and *C*/*T* versus *T* are shown in figure 5 for the RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd series. For both antiferromagnetic compounds, i.e., Tb<sub>4</sub>CoCd and Dy<sub>4</sub>CoCd, there is a prominent  $\lambda$ -type peak at 52(1) and 34(1) K respectively, corresponding to the ordering temperatures observed from susceptibility measurements. For Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd, there is a small change in the plot of *C*(*T*) around 17(1) K. This anomaly can be seen more clearly from the plot of *C*/*T* versus *T*. However, the  $\lambda$ -anomaly is missing in this compound. There is an upturn in *C*/*T* below 5 K, possibly indicating the presence of another magnetic transition.

In figure 5 we have also shown the specific heat data (as *C* and *C*/*T* versus *T*) for the RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd series. In contrast to the Co series, neither C(T) nor C/T versus *T* exhibits any clear  $\lambda$ -anomaly around the ordering temperatures (determined from the magnetic measurements) in the compounds of the Rh series. There is a broad peak-like feature in C/T versus *T* for the Tb and Dy compounds. However, in the case of Ho<sub>4</sub>RhCd, a sharp drop in C(T) and a peak in C/T versus *T* characterizes the ordering temperature. It should be noted here that, like Ho<sub>4</sub>CoCd, Ho<sub>4</sub>RhCd also exhibits an upturn in C/T versus *T* below 5 K indicating another magnetic transitions around or below this temperature.

# 4. Conclusions

The series of isostructural RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd and RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd compounds with RE = Tb, Dy, and Ho was synthesized and structurally characterized. The magnetic and specific heat measurements on a series of  $RE_4TCd$  compounds (RE = Tb Dy and Ho; T = Co, Rh) exhibits interesting magnetic properties. Magnetic ordering was detected for all the compounds, antiferromagnetic ordering for the terbium and the dysprosium compounds, and ferromagnetism in the holmium compounds. The magnetic transitions in both series are characterized by broad peaks in the susceptibility measurements. The broad peaks are intrinsic to these compounds, as can also be seen in the low-field (H = 100 Oe) measurements. The ordering temperatures decrease when Co is replaced by Rh at the transition metal site, indicating that both volume (structural) and electron count (3d versus 4d) may be responsible for governing the magnetic properties in these isostructural compounds. The low-temperature magnetization curves are very interesting. Another point for further investigations is the discrepancies seen in the ordering temperatures in the susceptibility and specific heat measurements. Though it is difficult to precisely determine the ordering temperatures in susceptibility measurements owing to broad peaks, the values of  $T_N$  determined from  $d\chi/dT$  is close to the ordering temperatures observed from C(T) curves in the case of the Tb and Dy compounds of the RE<sub>4</sub>CoCd series. Similar observations are made for the RE<sub>4</sub>RhCd series also. However, in the case of both Ho compounds, there is a difference of about 6 K in  $T_{\rm C}$  determined from susceptibility and specific heat measurements.

Finally, we believe the present work on the precise structural determination and preliminary investigations on the magnetic behaviour of  $RE_4TCd$  (RE = Tb, Dy, Ho; T = Co,

Rh) type intermetallics with be followed by further work, both experimental and theoretical, in understanding the physical properties of these highly interesting compounds, particularly at temperatures below 100 K. We believe that temperature-dependent neutron diffraction measurements will be rewarding in understanding in detail the magnetic unit cell, and thus the origin of magnetic interaction in these compounds which could enable us to correlate the structural and physical properties.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Dipl.-Ing. U Ch Rodewald for help with the intensity data collection. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. SR is indebted to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a postdoctoral stipend.

#### References

- [1] Horechyy A I, Pavlyuk V V and Bodak O I 1999 Pol. J. Chem. 73 1681
- [2] Pavlyuk V V, Horechyj A I, Kevorkov D G, Dmytriv G S, Bodak O I, Koziol J J, Ciesielski W and Kapuśniak J 2000 J. Alloys Compounds 296 276
- [3] Zelinska O Ya, Solokha P G and Pavlyuk V V 2004 J. Alloys Compounds 367 176
- [4] Doğan A and Pöttgen R 2005 Z. Naturf. b 60 495
- [5] Mishra R, Pöttgen R, Hoffmann R-D, Kaczorowski D, Piotrowski H, Mayer P, Rosenhahn C and Mosel B D 2001 Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 627 1283
- [6] Fickenscher Th, Kotzyba G, Hoffmann R-D and Pöttgen R 2001 Z. Naturf. b 56 598
- [7] Fickenscher Th, Hoffmann R-D, Mishra R and Pöttgen R 2002 Z. Naturf. b 57 275
- [8] Łatka K, Kmieć R, Pacyna A W, Fickenscher Th, Hoffmann R-D and Pöttgen R 2004 J. Magn. Mater. 280 90
- [9] Doğan A, Johrendt D and Pöttgen R 2005 Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 631 451
- [10] Doğan A, Rodewald U Ch and Pöttgen R 2006 Z. Naturf. b 61 at press
- [11] Lukachuk M and Pöttgen R 2003 Z. Kristallogr. 218 767
- [12] Fickenscher Th, Rodewald U Ch, Niepmann D, Mishra R, Eschen M and Pöttgen R 2005 Z. Naturf. b 60 271
- [13] Rayaprol S and Pöttgen R 2005 Phys. Rev. B 72 214435
- [14] Rayaprol S and Pöttgen R 2006 Phys. Rev. B 73 214403
- [15] Rayaprol S, Doğan A and Pöttgen R 2006 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18 5473
- [16] Pöttgen R, Kotzyba G, Görlich E A, Łatka K and Dronskowski R 1998 J. Solid State Chem. 141 352
- [17] Giovannini M, Michor H, Bauer E, Hilscher G, Rogl P and Ferro R 1998 J. Alloys Compounds 280 26
- [18] Zaremba R, Rodewald U Ch and Pöttgen R 2006 Z. Kristallogr. (Suppl.) 14 161
- [19] Zaremba R, Rodewald U Ch and Pöttgen R 2006 Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 632 2106
- [20] Pöttgen R, Gulden Th and Simon A 1999 GIT Labor-Fachz. 43 133
- [21] Kußmann D, Hoffmann R-D and Pöttgen R 1998 Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 624 1727
- [22] Yvon K, Jeitschko W and Parthé E 1977 J. Appl. Crystallogr. 10 73
- [23] Tuncel S, Hoffmann R-D, Chevalier B, Matar S F and Pöttgen R 2007 Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 633 151
- [24] Sheldrick G M 1997 SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement University of Göttingen
- [25] Flack H D and Bernadinelli G 1999 Acta Crystallogr. A 55 908
- [26] Flack H D and Bernadinelli G 2000 J. Appl. Crystallogr. 33 1143
- [27] Donohue J 1974 The Structures of the Elements (New York: Wiley)